

SECTION: ECONOMIC SCIENCES.

SEKCJA: NAUKI EKONOMICZNE.

How to cite: Challoumis, C., Eriotis, N. & Vasiliou, D. (2024). Evaluating the Neoclassical Synthesis in the Context of the Greek Economic Crisis: Historical Foundations *International Conference on Science, Innovations and Global Solutions*. (pp. 296-301). Futurity Research Publishing. <https://futurity-publishing.com/international-conference-on-science-innovations-and-global-solutions-archive/>

Evaluating the Neoclassical Synthesis in the Context of the Greek Economic Crisis: Historical Foundations

Constantinos Challoumis¹, Nikolaos Eriotis², Dimitrios Vasileiou³

¹*Ph.D. in Economics, Researcher at National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Team Coordinator at the Laboratory of Accounting, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

²*Ph.D. in Accounting, Professor at the National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

³*Ph.D. in Banking, Professor at the National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

Accepted: July 17, 2024 | **Published:** July 29, 2024 | **Language:** English

Abstract: This paper examines the evolution and application of neoclassical economics, emphasizing its relevance to the Greek economic crisis and incorporating the Cycle of Money Theory. Paul Samuelson's 1955 neoclassical synthesis integrated Alfred Marshall's microeconomic foundations with John Maynard Keynes's macroeconomic principles, building on David Ricardo's concepts of value and comparative advantage. The paper outlines key neoclassical ideas, market equilibrium, aggregate demand, and government intervention, and their influence on subsequent theories like monetarism and rational expectations. It then evaluates how these principles and the cycle of money theory have been applied to Greece's fiscal management, investment promotion, and banking stabilization, concluding that integrating traditional and modern theories is crucial for effective crisis resolution.

Keywords: Neoclassical Synthesis, Paul Samuelson, Alfred Marshall, John Maynard Keynes, David Ricardo, Labor Theory of Value, Comparative Advantage, Cycle of Money Theory, Greek Economic Crisis

Introduction

In the 1955 edition of his influential textbook, *Economics*, Nobel Laureate Paul Samuelson introduced the term "neoclassical synthesis" to describe the prevailing theoretical consensus among professional economists. Samuelson referred to the integration of microeconomics, pioneered by Alfred Marshall and other prominent economists of the late nineteenth century, with the new macroeconomics established by John Maynard Keynes in his *General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money* (1936). Although this consensus fragmented in the 1970s, leading to the emergence of various competing schools of macroeconomic thought, the term "neoclassical economics" remains in use to denote mainstream or orthodox economics. This term encompasses Keynesian, monetarist, and other contemporary schools of economic thought, as they all share fundamental assumptions about the nature of markets. Essentially, neoclassical economics can be understood as the collection of methods and theories broadly accepted and utilized by the majority of the economics profession (Gilpin & Gilpin, 2001).

Paul Samuelson's concept of the "neoclassical synthesis," introduced in his 1955 textbook *Economics*, represents a significant theoretical advancement in economics. Pioneered by Alfred Marshall and other economists of the late nineteenth century, microeconomics focuses on the behavior of individual agents and how they interact in markets. Key concepts include supply and demand, marginal utility, and market equilibrium. Marshall's work emphasized how prices and outputs are determined by the interplay of supply and demand, and how these, in turn, affect resource allocation.

Established by John Maynard Keynes in his seminal work *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money* (1936), macroeconomics examines the economy as a whole. Keynesian economics emphasizes aggregate demand, government intervention, and the role of fiscal and monetary policy in managing economic fluctuations and achieving full employment. Neoclassical economics retained the microeconomic foundations of market equilibrium and individual optimization. It incorporates the principles of marginal analysis and the behavior of consumers and firms. It integrated Keynesian insights on the role of aggregate demand and government intervention, applying them to macroeconomic models to explain phenomena such as unemployment and inflation. Neoclassical economics is a detailed study of economic matters as understood by professional economists. Economics is a well-defined field where its practitioners are highly trained. It's considered the most structured and precise of the social sciences, providing a necessary foundation for understanding not just the economy but also various other aspects of society. However, economics is just the beginning of analysis, not the end. While the systematic approach of neoclassical economics offers many benefits, it also has limitations. Social reality cannot be entirely reduced to just prices and quantities, despite the efforts of some economists to argue otherwise (Aleksē Matveevic Rumiāntsev, 1983).

Unlike most other social sciences, modern economics, similar to physics and other natural sciences, had a foundational figure who set the goals, boundaries, and methods of the discipline. For any academic discipline, including economics, certain foundational elements are necessary. These include a clear definition of the subject, agreement on the main questions to address, and a preferred methodology. In economics, this method is called methodological individualism, which assumes that rational, self-interested individuals are the main actors (Engels, 1844; Harris, 2020; Keynes, 1936; Lenin, 1916; Marx, 1867). The discipline evolves as questions, methods, and theories compete, with the most successful ideas becoming part of the accepted knowledge.

David Ricardo laid the foundations of modern economics in the early 19th century. He and other classical economists shared some basic assumptions, such as the labor theory of value (the idea that labor creates value) and the belief that the three main production factors (land, labor, and capital) couldn't move across countries. Ricardo focused on understanding the distribution of income among these factors and the patterns of international trade. He used mathematical techniques and formal models, which are still

standard in economics today. Ricardo also introduced important concepts like the law of diminishing returns and the theory of comparative advantage, which explains why countries trade certain goods. Although economic questions, methods, and theories have evolved, Ricardo's basic approach continues to influence economists.

Research Results

To apply David Ricardo's approach to the Greek economic crisis, the labor theory of value, the law of diminishing returns, and the theory of comparative advantage. Here's how these theories can guide recommendations for Greece. Labor Theory of Value has the concept that Ricardo's labor theory of value posits that the value of goods is derived from the labor required to produce them. Application to Greece could be done by investment in Human Capital which improves labor productivity through investments in education and training (OECD, 2021). By enhancing the skills of the workforce, Greece can increase the value produced by labor, aligning with Ricardo's emphasis on labor's role in value creation.

Focus on sectors where labor productivity can be significantly improved. For example, investing in technology and innovation-driven industries can create higher value and more competitive products. Implement targeted educational and vocational training programs to enhance labor skills. Provide incentives for businesses to invest in technology and innovation, increasing productivity and value creation. Ricardo's law of diminishing returns states that if one input is increased while others remain constant, the additional output will eventually decrease. Ensure that additional investments in specific sectors do not lead to diminishing returns. For instance, assess the impact of further subsidies or tax incentives to avoid over-investment in low-return areas. Prioritize investments in areas where the marginal returns are highest. Avoid excessive investment in sectors where additional inputs yield progressively lower outputs. Conduct regular assessments of investment returns and adjust policies to prevent diminishing returns.

Focus on sectors with high potential for positive returns on additional investments. This should also be taken into account in the theory of Comparative Advantage. Ricardo's theory of comparative advantage suggests that countries should specialize in producing goods where they have a lower opportunity cost and trade for other goods, leading to more efficient resource use and economic gains. Invest in and develop sectors where Greece has a comparative advantage, such as tourism, shipping, and potentially new areas like renewable energy or high-tech industries. Encourage international trade by focusing on sectors where Greece can offer unique value, thereby leveraging comparative advantage to boost exports and economic growth. Identify and support sectors where Greece has a comparative advantage to enhance trade and economic efficiency. Create policies that facilitate trade and investment in sectors where Greece can maximize its competitive edge.

Table 1

Ricardo's Approach to Greece

Ricardo's Concept	Application to Greece	Recommendations
Labor Theory of Value	Improve labor productivity and support high-value sectors.	- Invest in education and vocational training. Provide incentives for technology and innovation.
Law of Diminishing Returns	Ensure investments are efficient and avoid diminishing returns.	- Regularly assess investment efficiency. Focus on high-return areas.

Theory of Comparative Advantage	Develop and specialize in sectors with comparative advantage.	- Invest in sectors with inherent advantages. Promote international trade.
--	---	--

Source: authors' own development

In the context of the Greek economic crisis, both Ricardo's foundational theories and the concept of the "cycle of money" offer valuable insights for crafting effective economic policies. Ricardo's theories—labor theory of value, law of diminishing returns, and theory of comparative advantage—provide a solid framework for understanding the role of labor, the impact of additional investments, and the benefits of specialization and trade. These concepts emphasize the importance of productive efficiency, resource allocation, and leveraging comparative strengths (Balios, Eriotis, Missiakoulis, & Vasiliou, 2015; Dimitris Balios, Panagiotis Kotsilaras, Nikolaos Eriotis, & Dimitrios Vasiliou, 2020; Eriotis, 2011; Vasiliou, Eriotis, Naoum, & Balios, 2020). The cycle of money theory offers a flexible framework that can be adapted to various economic conditions and policy environments. It recognizes that the dynamics of modern economies are influenced by a complex interplay of factors, including monetary policy, fiscal measures, and international trade (Challoumis, 2018, 2020, 2021c, 2022a, 2023c). By focusing on the circulation and effective use of money, this theory provides a pragmatic approach that can be tailored to Greece's unique economic situation, allowing for adjustments based on real-time economic performance and changing circumstances.

Conclusions

While David Ricardo's foundational theories remain influential in understanding economic principles and guiding long-term policy, the cycle of money theory offers a more nuanced and adaptable approach for addressing the specific challenges of the Greek crisis (Challoumis, 2021b, 2021a, 2022b). By focusing on the flow of money within the economy, integrating banking and investment policies, and providing flexibility in policy implementation, the cycle of money theory provides a practical framework for fostering economic stability and growth in the face of complex and evolving economic conditions.

This paper has explored the evolution of economic thought from the neoclassical synthesis to its application in contemporary economic crises, with a particular focus on Greece. Paul Samuelson's 1955 neoclassical synthesis, which integrated Alfred Marshall's microeconomic foundations with John Maynard Keynes's macroeconomic principles, provided a comprehensive framework for understanding market dynamics and economic stabilization. This synthesis is built upon David Ricardo's foundational concepts, such as the labor theory of value and comparative advantage, which continue to influence economic analysis. The paper demonstrated that while neoclassical economics—encompassing core ideas like market equilibrium, aggregate demand, and government intervention—has significantly shaped economic policy, its application in addressing the Greek economic crisis reveals both strengths and limitations (Challoumis, 2023a, 2023b, 2024). The effectiveness of neoclassical principles in managing fiscal deficits, stimulating domestic investment, and stabilizing the banking sector was assessed, showing that these traditional theories offer valuable insights but may not fully address the complexities of modern economic crises. The integration of the cycle of money theory into the analysis provides a contemporary perspective, emphasizing the importance of money flow and economic activity. This theory highlights the need for effective liquidity management, domestic investment incentives, and the stabilization of the banking system, offering practical strategies for crisis resolution. By incorporating the cycle of money theory, the paper suggests that a combined approach—merging traditional neoclassical insights with modern theories—can offer a more comprehensive solution to economic challenges, as the Cycle of Money combines all the advantages, through the regulation policy.

In conclusion, while the neoclassical synthesis provides a robust foundation for understanding economic principles and policy, integrating it with contemporary theories like the cycle of money theory is crucial for effectively addressing complex economic crises such as Greece's. This combined approach ensures that both historical and modern insights are utilized to create more resilient and adaptable economic policies.

References

- Alekseĭ Matveevic Rumiantsev. (1983). *Political Economy*. PROGRESS Guides to the Social Sciences.
- Balios, D., Eriotis, N., Missiakoulis, S., & Vasiliou, D. (2015). Delisted versus voluntary delisted versus remain listed: an ordered analysis. *Applied Economics Letters*, 22(1). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2014.927559>
- Challoumis, C. (2018). Methods of Controlled Transactions and the Behavior of Companies According to the Public and Tax Policy. *Economics*, 6(1), 33–43. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2018-0003>
- Challoumis, C. (2020). The Impact Factor of Education on the Public Sector – The Case of the U.S. *International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research*, 13(1), 69–78. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.25103/ijbesar.131.07>
- Challoumis, C. (2021a). Index of the cycle of money - The case of Greece. *IJBESAR (International Journal of Business and Economic Sciences Applied Research)*, 14(2), 58–67.
- Challoumis, C. (2021b). Index of the cycle of money - The case of Serbia. *Open Journal for Research in Economics (OJRE)*, 4(1). Retrieved from <https://centerprode.com/ojre.html>
- Challoumis, C. (2021c). The cycle of money with and without the enforcement savings. *Complex System Research Centre*.
- Challoumis, C. (2022a). Impact Factor of the Rest Rewarding Taxes. In *Complex System Research Centre*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3154753>
- Challoumis, C. (2022b). Index of the cycle of money - the case of Poland. *Research Papers in Economics and Finance*, 6(1), 72–86. Retrieved from <https://journals.ue.poznan.pl/REF/article/view/126/83>
- Challoumis, C. (2023a). Index of the cycle of money: The case of Costa Rica. *Sapienza*, 4(3), 1–11. Retrieved from <https://journals.sapienzaeditorial.com/index.php/SIJIS>
- Challoumis, C. (2023b). Index of the cycle of money - The case of Canada. *Journal of Entrepreneurship, Business and Economics*, 11(1), 102–133. Retrieved from <http://scientificia.com/index.php/JEBE/article/view/203>
- Challoumis, C. (2023c). The Velocities of Maximum Escaped Savings with than of Financial Liquidity to the Case of Mixed Savings. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON ECONOMICS, FINANCE INANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT*, 5(6), 124–133.
- Challoumis, C. (2024). Index of the cycle of money – the case of Switzerland. *Risk and Financial Management*, 17(4), 1–24. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17040135>
- Dimitris Balios, Panagiotis Kotsilaras, Nikolaos Eriotis, & Dimitrios Vasiliou. (2020). Big Data, Data Analytics and External Auditing. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 16(5). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.17265/1548-6583/2020.05.002>
- Engels, F. (1844). *The Condition of the Working Class in England*. Otto Wigand.
- Eriotis, N. (2011). The Effect Of Distributed Earnings And Size Of The Firm To Its Dividend Policy: Some Greek Data. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)*, 4(1). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.19030/iber.v4i1.3568>

- Gilpin, R., & Gilpin, J. M. (2001). *Global Political Economy*. PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS PRINCETON AND OXFORD.
- Harris, J. (2020). Economic Policy Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(4), 35–60.
- Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Harcourt Brace.
- Lenin, V. I. (1916). *Imperialism, the Highest Stage of Capitalism*. The Marx-Engels-Lenin Institute.
- Marx, K. (1867). *Das Kapital: Critique of Political Economy*. Verlag von Otto Meissner.
- OECD. (2021). *Economic Outlook for Greece*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Vasiliou, D., Eriotis, N., Naoum, V. C., & Balios, D. (2020). Sticky behaviour of selling, general, and administrative costs and earnings management practices: an international comparative perspective. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, 12(3/4). Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmfa.2020.10034640>