

**SECTION:** ECONOMIC SCIENCES.

**SEKCJA:** NAUKI EKONOMICZNE.

**How to cite:** Challoumis, C., Eriotis, N., & Vasiliou, D. (2024). Economic and Social Views of Neoliberalism in Greece: Insights from the Financial Crisis and Recovery. *International Conference on Science, Innovations and Global Solutions*. (pp. 241-245). Futurity Research Publishing. <https://futurity-publishing.com/international-conference-on-science-innovations-and-global-solutions-archive/>

## **Economic and Social Views of Neoliberalism in Greece: Insights from the Financial Crisis and Recovery**

**Constantinos, Challoumis<sup>1</sup>, Nikolaos Eriotis<sup>2</sup>, Dimitrios Vasiliou<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Ph.D. in Economics, Researcher at National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Team Coordinator at the Laboratory of Accounting, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

<sup>2</sup>*Ph.D. in Accounting, Professor at the National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

<sup>3</sup>*Ph.D. in Banking, Professor at the National & Kapodistrian University of Athens, Dept. of Business Administration, Greece*

**Accepted:** July 13, 2024 | **Published:** July 28, 2024 | **Language:** English

**Abstract:** Neoliberalism, characterized by market deregulation, privatization, and austerity measures, has had a profound impact on Greece, especially since the financial crisis of 2008. This paper examines the implementation of neoliberal policies in Greece, analyzing their effects on the economy and society. It situates the Greek experience within the broader context of development economics, contrasting neoliberal strategies with earlier development theories. By exploring the consequences of neoliberalism

in Greece, this work provides insights into the challenges and outcomes of adopting such economic policies in less developed countries (LDCs).

**Keywords:** Neoliberalism, austerity measures, privatization, economic construction, unemployment, money cycle, escape savings, enforcement savings

## Introduction

Neoliberalism refers to the application of neoclassical economic principles to economic development and other aspects of economic affairs. Rooted in the belief that free markets, deregulation, and privatization are the keys to economic growth, neoliberalism has been promoted by international financial institutions like the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, particularly in the context of structural adjustment programs. In Greece, the adoption of neoliberal policies was accelerated by the financial crisis of 2008 and the subsequent debt crisis, leading to significant economic and social transformations (Gilpin, & Gilpin, 2001, Keynes, 1936, Rumiantsev, 1983, International Monetary Fund, 2021) The financial crisis of 2008 exposed the vulnerabilities of the Greek economy, leading to a severe debt crisis. In response, Greece implemented a series of structural adjustment programs mandated by the IMF, the European Central Bank (ECB), and the European Commission (collectively known as the Troika).

These programs were rooted in neoliberal principles and included austerity measures, labor market reforms, and extensive privatization of public assets. Austerity measures in Greece involved significant cuts to public spending, reductions in pensions, and tax increases. These policies aimed to reduce the fiscal deficit and stabilize the debt-to-GDP ratio. However, the social costs were immense, leading to increased unemployment, poverty, and social unrest. Labor market reforms focused on increasing flexibility by reducing job security and weakening collective bargaining rights. These changes were intended to make the labor market more competitive and attract foreign investment. However, they also contributed to wage reductions and deteriorating working conditions, exacerbating social inequalities. Privatization of state-owned enterprises and assets was a cornerstone of the neoliberal agenda in Greece. The sale of public utilities, transport, and real estate was intended to generate revenue and improve efficiency. Nonetheless, critics argue that privatization often led to the undervaluation of assets and loss of public control over essential services.

## Research Results

Neoliberalism, marked by a commitment to market deregulation, privatization of state assets, and stringent austerity measures, has profoundly shaped Greece's economic landscape, particularly following the financial crisis of 2008. This paper delves into the practical implementation of neoliberal policies in Greece, scrutinizing their multifaceted impacts on both the economy and society. The analysis places the Greek experience within the broader framework of development economics, offering a comparative perspective on how neoliberal strategies contrast with earlier development theories and practices. The core of neoliberalism, emphasizing reduced state intervention and increased reliance on market forces, was adopted with the intention of stabilizing Greece's economy and addressing fiscal imbalances. However, the reality has been starkly different. The initial years of austerity saw a dramatic contraction in Greece's GDP, reflecting severe economic distress. Despite the intention to restore fiscal health, the recovery has been notably slow and uneven, with Greece's economic performance remaining fragile and disproportionately dependent on sectors like tourism and shipping, which are highly sensitive to external economic fluctuations.

Unemployment rates in Greece soared to alarming levels, peaking at over 27% in 2013. This unprecedented rise in unemployment had particularly dire consequences for the younger generation.

Youth unemployment reached critical heights, prompting a significant brain drain as many educated and skilled young Greeks sought better opportunities abroad. This exodus of talent has not only deprived Greece of its future workforce but also exacerbated long-term economic challenges by reducing the country's potential for innovation and growth. Public debt remained a persistent issue despite the implementation of austerity measures. Greece's public debt-to-GDP ratio continued to climb, a situation exacerbated by the economic contraction and the compounded burden of servicing existing debt. The high debt levels have constrained Greece's fiscal flexibility, limiting the government's ability to invest in growth-promoting initiatives and social welfare programs. Therefore also examines the broader implications of neoliberal policies in the context of development economics. Development theories from the mid-20th century, which emphasized substantial state intervention to address market failures and promote economic growth in less developed countries (LDCs), stand in contrast to neoliberal approaches. While earlier development theories advocated for active state roles and international support to foster economic development, neoliberalism's emphasis on market-driven solutions and fiscal austerity has highlighted significant challenges in addressing complex economic and social issues.

By exploring the Greek experience, this analysis aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the outcomes and challenges associated with the adoption of neoliberal policies in LDCs. It underscores the need for a more nuanced approach to economic development—one that balances market efficiency with the necessary state interventions to ensure sustainable and inclusive growth. The Greek case offers critical insights into the limitations of neoliberal strategies and the potential benefits of integrating alternative economic frameworks to address development challenges effectively.

Poverty rates increased, with many Greeks experiencing severe material deprivation. Income inequality widened as the burden of adjustment fell disproportionately on lower-income households. Cuts to public spending resulted in reduced access to healthcare, education, and social services, undermining social welfare and public health outcomes. The social fabric of Greece was strained, with widespread protests, strikes, and political instability reflecting public discontent with the austerity measures and their consequences. Development economics, as formulated in the mid-20th century, emphasized the role of the state in addressing market failures and promoting economic development in LDCs. The neoliberal approach, by contrast, prioritizes market mechanisms and minimal state intervention. The Greek experience highlights the limitations and challenges of applying neoliberal policies in the context of economic crises and structural weaknesses. Development theorists like Paul Rosenstein-Rodan and Gunnar Myrdal argued for significant state intervention to address the unique challenges of LDCs, including low savings rates, lack of entrepreneurship, and unfavorable terms of trade. Neoliberal policies in Greece, however, have often undermined state capacity and social safety nets, exacerbating economic and social vulnerabilities. Development economists advocated for substantial international assistance and preferential trade policies to support LDCs. In Greece, the financial assistance provided by the Troika came with stringent conditions, focusing on fiscal consolidation and structural reforms rather than developmental support. This conditionality often constrained Greece's policy options and limited its ability to pursue growth-oriented strategies.

The case of Greece illustrates the complex and often contentious nature of neoliberal economic policies in addressing the challenges faced by LDCs (Eriotis, 2011, Vasiliou et al., 2020, Balios et al., 2015, Balios et al., 2020). While some macroeconomic improvements have been achieved, the social and economic costs have been significant, raising questions about the sustainability and equity of the neoliberal approach. The experience of Greece underscores the need for a more balanced and context-sensitive approach to economic development, one that integrates the strengths of both state-led and market-driven strategies to promote inclusive and sustainable growth. Development economists advocated for substantial international assistance and preferential trade policies to support LDCs. In Greece, the financial assistance provided by the Troika came with stringent conditions, focusing on fiscal

consolidation and structural reforms rather than developmental support. This conditionality often constrained Greece's policy options and limited its ability to pursue growth-oriented strategies.

In examining the case of Greece, it is important to consider the role of the money cycle, particularly the distinction between enforcement and escape savings. Enforcement savings refer to the funds that remain within the local banking system and are reinvested in the domestic economy. These investments, made by businesses that do not assume the roles of small ones, enhance the overall economic capacity by funding manufacturing and highly specialized activities. This process ensures that the entire economic system remains active and operates at maximum capacity. In contrast, escape savings are those that are diverted away from the local economy, leading to profits and funds being invested outside the national framework. In Greece, the economic challenges have often resulted in a higher proportion of escape savings, where capital flows out of the country, reducing the potential for domestic investment and economic growth. This contrasts with the example of Switzerland, where enforcement savings dominate, allowing for a high distribution and reuse of money within the economy. This positive money cycle leads to a well-structured and self-organizing economy. The impact of neoliberal policies in Greece can be understood through the lens of the money cycle (Challoumis, 2024). When money is distributed and reused within the local economy, as seen with enforcement savings, the economy is strengthened and operates at full capacity. Conversely, when escape savings prevail, the economy suffers from a lack of reinvestment and diminished growth prospect (Challoumis, 2018, Challoumis, 2023, Challoumis, 2021, Challoumis, 2019). The case of Greece illustrates the complex and often contentious nature of neoliberal economic policies in addressing the challenges faced by LDCs. While some macroeconomic improvements have been achieved, the social and economic costs have been significant, raising questions about the sustainability and equity of the neoliberal approach. The experience of Greece underscores the need for a more balanced and context-sensitive approach to economic development, one that integrates the strengths of both state-led and market-driven strategies to promote inclusive and sustainable growth. The theory of the cycle of money suggests that an appropriate regulatory public and tax policy, supported by a robust banking system, can help mitigate the adverse effects of neoliberal policies and foster a healthier economic structure.

## Conclusions

The implementation of neoliberal policies in Greece led to substantial economic contraction, high unemployment rates, and a fragile economy reliant on a few sectors like tourism and shipping. These policies often resulted in a high level of escape savings, where capital flowed out of the local economy, undermining domestic investment and hindering long-term growth. The focus on austerity and privatization, while aiming to reduce deficits, frequently exacerbated social inequities and weakened public services, leading to increased poverty and income disparity. In contrast, the money cycle emphasizes the reinvestment of savings within the local economy, promoting economic efficiency and resilience. By ensuring that funds are distributed and reused locally, the money cycle fosters sustainable growth and supports domestic investment. This approach helps to maintain and enhance public services, contributing to a more equitable distribution of economic benefits and improving social welfare. The money cycle also offers a more balanced perspective on state intervention and economic management. It suggests that a well-regulated banking system and strategic state policies can complement market mechanisms, strengthening the economy and supporting long-term development. This model highlights the importance of creating an environment where both public and private investments can thrive, leading to a more stable and inclusive economic structure. Overall, the experience of Greece underscores the limitations of neoliberalism in addressing complex economic and social challenges. The money cycle provides a superior alternative by promoting the reinvestment of capital within the local economy, thereby enhancing resilience, equity, and long-term growth. Adopting a money cycle-oriented approach could offer more sustainable and equitable solutions for economic development.

## References

- Gilpin, R., & Gilpin, J. M. (2001). *Global Political Economy*. Princeton University Press.
- Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. Harcourt Brace.
- Rumiantsev, A. M. (1983). *Political Economy*. Progress Guides to the Social Sciences.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2021). *Fiscal Policies to Support the COVID-19 Recovery*. International Monetary Fund.
- Eriotis, N. (2011). The effect of distributed earnings and size of the firm on its dividend policy: Some Greek data. *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.19030/iber.v4i1.3568>
- Vasiliou, D., Eriotis, N., Naoum, V. C., & Balios, D. (2020). Sticky behaviour of selling, general, and administrative costs and earnings management practices: An international comparative perspective. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, 12(3/4). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMFA.2020.10034640>
- Balios, D., Eriotis, N., Missiakoulis, S., & Vasiliou, D. (2015). Delisted versus voluntary delisted versus remain listed: An ordered analysis. *Applied Economics Letters*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2014.927559>
- Balios, D., Kotsilaras, P., Eriotis, N., & Vasiliou, D. (2020). Big data, data analytics and external auditing. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 16(5). <https://doi.org/10.17265/1548-6583/2020.05.002>
- Challoumis, C. (2024). Index of the cycle of money – The case of Switzerland. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17040135>
- Challoumis, C. (2018). Methods of controlled transactions and the behavior of companies according to the public and tax policy. *Economics*, 6(1), 33–43. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2018-0003>
- Challoumis, C. (2023). The cycle of money (C.M.) considers financial liquidity with minimum mixed savings. *Open Journal of Research in Economics*, 6(1), 1–12.
- Challoumis, C. (2023). The velocities of maximum escaped savings with that of financial liquidity to the case of mixed savings. *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sustainability*, 5(6), 124–133.
- Challoumis, C. (2021). The cycle of money with and without the enforcement of savings. Complex Systems Research Center.
- Challoumis, C. (2023). Elements of the theory of cycle of money without enforcement savings. *International Journal of Finance and Business Management (IJFBM)*, 2(1), 15–28. <https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijfbm/article/view/1108/1202>
- Challoumis, C. (2019). The R.B.Q. (Rational, Behavioral and Quantified) Model. *Ekonomika*, 98(1), 6–18. <https://doi.org/10.15388/ekon.2019.1.1>